

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,221	09/11/2003	Roger Nicholas Place	A35977-PCT-USA-A	A35977-PCT-USA-A 7705	
21003	7590 03/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			SPITZER, R	SPITZER, ROBERT H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	1724		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\subset$	2	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/660,221	PLACE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert H. Spitzer	1724	

Advisory Action	10/660,221 PLACE ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The alignormal of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or</li> </ol>	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, was fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of the of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque the following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	lication in est for Continued iods: sichever is later. In ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a even if timely filed
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>			ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 27-42. (See the delaided reasons generally claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	at before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			ice because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	F	Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724	

March 7, 2005

GB